From:

Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz 11192 Jackman Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

From: Sent:

Annis Hughes [annis_hughes@sbcglobal.net]

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes Ms. none 616 West Elk Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes 573-624-4451 Ms. none

From:

Maria Earnest [mariaearnest@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 1:17 PM

To: Subject: Michael Powell comment to strike down the a la carte cable regulation

Dear Sir:

I am very concerned. I have contacted my congressman and asked him to "strike down the a la carte cable regulation". I understand it is part of the competition in consumer choice in the MVPD market place. I would like you to watch this closely.

Sincerely, Maria Earnest 1304 Rockmoor Dr Fort Worth, TX 76134

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail Address AutoComplete - You start. We finish.

From:

Mark Taylor [Mtaylor@spotsylvania.va.us]

Sent:

Monday, October 04, 2004 10:17 AM

To: Subject:

Michael Powell a la carte cable

Sir-

Please accept this comment-

Have searched in vain on FCC website for mode by which to comment on pending a la carte cable rule. Astounded that this is even a topic of discussion. Would have thought that the death of "Ma Bell" and the rise of competition would have answered this issue automatically. That the discussion even exists is a testament to corrupting force of power.

Those who fought their way into business preaching free market & competition now seek protection from competition in the form of protection for bundling of cable "packages". Packages provide a subsidy for programming that should fail in the marketplace and force consumers to accept hours of unwanted and unwatched programming. Please make mandated a la carte cable a reality! Thank you. Mark Taylor Spotsylvania

From: Sent:

Norma Graham [nlgraham@comcast.net] Tuesday, October 05, 2004 11:17 AM Michael Powell

To:

Subject:

a la carte cable regulations

The FCC should report to Congress that a la carte programming would be destructive to the market and undermine the development and availability of diverse programming and program sources to the public. The cost would be higher to the consumer with less product provided.

Norma Lee Graham 14702 Bigby Court Silver Spring, MD 20906

nlgraham@comcast.net

From:

Paul & Kathleen Brown [pkil.b1@att.net]

Sent:

Friday, October 01, 2004 7:48 AM

To:

Michael Powell

Cc:

Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein

Subject:

a la carte charge for religious broadcasting

Mr. Powell.

I understand that the FCC is supporting an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would be devastating to religious broadcasting -- cutting broadcasts from over 90 million households to just a few million. This per-channel-charge would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

I ask that in the interest of religious liberties and constitutional freedom that you stop the proposal of this outrageous "a la carte cable regulation." I urge you to protect the Constitutional right of free speech.

Sincerely,

Kathleen F. Brown

pkil.b1@att.net

3409 Heritage Oak Court

Grapevine, TX 76051-5626

From:

Pinkpetunia220@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 9:26 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

a la carte cable regulation

Dear Sir:

I understand the FCC is trying to get a bill passed that would decrease the amount of religious broadcasting. We ask you to please reconsider and stop this "a la carte cable regulation"...religious broadcasting has such a positive effect on so many of us on a daily basis, and is a much better alternative to much of the programs on tv. Thank you for your kind consideration.

J. Hicks

From:

Robert Bobbitt [robert.bobbitt@zurichna.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 5:04 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Cable TV: Ala Carte Program Choices

Dear Commissioner Powell:

Phone: 913/339-1579 Network: 899-1579 Fax: 913/906-2323

E-mail: robert.bobbitt@zurichna.com

********* PLEASE NOTE ************

This message, along with any attachments, may be confidential or legally privileged. It is intended only for the named person(s), who is/are the only authorized recipients. If this message has reached you in error, please notify the sender immediately and promptly destroy it without review. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Thank you for your help.

From:

Steve & Brenda [moderndaysamson@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 3:04 PM

To: Subject:

Jonathan Adelstein a la carte regulation

Dear Rep. Mac Thornberry and FCC

I have been made aware of this situation, as well as others have been made aware of this. Please read the following.

Because most of you regularly watch our Believer's Voice of Victory daily and Sunday broadcasts, we know you will want to act quickly and in faith regarding a proposal that could cut off the religious programs you and your family enjoy.

KCM has confirmed through the American Center for Law and Justice (ACLJ), a law firm dedicated to the protection of the religious rights of Americans, that a new proposal by the U.S. Congress and Federal Communications Commission would severely limit access to religious programming.

The Congress and FCC propose an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. According to the ACLJ, for whom Jay Sekulow serves as chief counsel, the effects of the "a la carte cable regulation" proposal would be devastating to religious broadcasting. It would cut broadcasts from over 90 million households to just a few million.

To help the American Center for Law and Justice in its fight to stop this proposal and protect free speech, we encourage you to take one of the following actions to contact your congressional representative today and demand that they strike down "a la carte cable regulation":

I pray that you will work as the last paragraph stated to "strike down" the "a la carte cable regulation" on religious broadcasts. You, as well as I have taken an oath to uphold and defend the constituion. (Im a law enforcement officer.) Thank You for taking time to read and address this concern.

Respectfully, Stephen W Permar.

From:

Steve & Brenda [moderndaysamson@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 3:04 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

a la carte regulation

Dear Rep. Mac Thornberry and FCC

I have been made aware of this situation, as well as others have been made aware of this. Please read the following.

Because most of you regularly watch our Believer's Voice of Victory daily and Sunday broadcasts, we know you will want to act quickly and in faith regarding a proposal that could cut off the religious programs you and your family enjoy.

KCM has confirmed through the American Center for Law and Justice (ACLJ), a law firm dedicated to the protection of the religious rights of Americans, that a new proposal by the U.S. Congress and Federal Communications Commission would severely limit access to religious programming.

The Congress and FCC propose an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. According to the ACLJ, for whom Jay Sekulow serves as chief counsel, the effects of the "a la carte cable regulation" proposal would be devastating to religious broadcasting. It would cut broadcasts from over 90 million households to just a few million.

To help the American Center for Law and Justice in its fight to stop this proposal and protect free speech, we encourage you to take one of the following actions to contact your congressional representative today and demand that they strike down "a la carte cable regulation":

I pray that you will work as the last paragraph stated to "strike down" the "a la carte cable regulation" on religious broadcasts. You, as well as I have taken an oath to uphold and defend the constituion. (Im a law enforcement officer.) Thank You for taking time to read and address this concern.

Respectfully, Stephen W Permar.

From:

Steve & Brenda [moderndaysamson@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 3:03 PM

To: Subject: Michael Powell a la carte regulation

Dear Rep. Mac Thornberry and FCC

I have been made aware of this situation, as well as others have been made aware of this. Please read the following.

Because most of you regularly watch our Believer's Voice of Victory daily and Sunday broadcasts, we know you will want to act quickly and in faith regarding a proposal that could cut off the religious programs you and your family enjoy.

KCM has confirmed through the American Center for Law and Justice (ACLJ), a law firm dedicated to the protection of the religious rights of Americans, that a new proposal by the U.S. Congress and Federal Communications Commission would severely limit access to religious programming.

The Congress and FCC propose an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. According to the ACLJ, for whom Jay Sekulow serves as chief counsel, the effects of the "a la carte cable regulation" proposal would be devastating to religious broadcasting. It would cut broadcasts from over 90 million households to just a few million.

To help the American Center for Law and Justice in its fight to stop this proposal and protect free speech, we encourage you to take one of the following actions to contact your congressional representative today and demand that they strike down "a la carte cable regulation":

I pray that you will work as the last paragraph stated to "strike down" the "a la carte cable regulation" on religious broadcasts. You, as well as I have taken an oath to uphold and defend the constituion. (Im a law enforcement officer.) Thank You for taking time to read and address this concern.

Respectfully, Stephen W Permar.

From:

Terry Moyer [terrymoyer@admoyer.com]

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 1:24 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

Ala Carte Cable television

Kathleen.

I hate to trouble you with this topic but have run into nothing but dead ends on the potential future of the cable industry going- to an ala carte program. I've talked with several people at Commcast (our local cable supplier) and got as far up the ladder (Robert Glasgow some one in the executive office 1-800-220-8315 ext #6218256). Their position is that it just isn't economically feasible.

My wife and I are concerned about what my 4 kids which range from 8 to 16 years old see on TV. Commcast was cooperative in putting traps on several channels such as MTV and VH1 but the more we considered it, the more we disagree with having to support (through package pricing) the channels that promote indecency with our monthly payment. We've since back in July disconnected our service and now only get about 6-8 local stations.

Unfortunately, my 3 sons and I enjoy sports and the only way you can get a good variety of them is through the ESPN network and other stations such as TBS. Our problem is not in that we disagree with the total cost of the cable, we just don't want to continue to support the stations with smutty reputations/programming. I know there are organizations out there that have taken up the fight to get ala carte in the United States but it seems as if the Christian community isn't being heard on this. What's your viewpoint on the issue of having such a good media device being used in a monopolizing way? Is there anyway you can help? I really feel the cable industry won't move on an issue of decency until forced to by either government regulation or some kind of competition.

I know your probably a very busy person, but I really would like to some one in the FCC that shares my concerns for the future of our children. Could you please call or E-mail back with any help possible.

Thanks, Terry Moyer

Terry Moyer/A.D. Moyer Lumber Inc 300 Armand Hammer Blvd. Pottstown PA 19464 610-327-1122 ext#100 terrymoyer@admoyer.com

From:

Tim Brenn [tazz92307@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Saturday, October 02, 2004 11:20 AM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein;

FCCINFO: FOIA

Subject:

Oppose pay per channel or "a la carte" regulation that hampers freedom of speech.

FCC Commissioners.

I oppose the proposed Federal regulation know as "pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing that would have a devastating effect on the inspirational programming to which we currently have access.

The proposed regulation would require that consumers specifically order and pay for a channel rather than receive it as part of a basic cable or satellite package. This regulation, if implemented, would decimate both the audience and financial support for religious broadcasting. Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasting does not draw the same level of advertising as standard entertainment programming, and if thrust into an "a la carte" world it would either be dropped by many cable and satellite operators or their subscribers would be charged significantly higher fees. Both prospects are unacceptable.

Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasts provide a vital public service by providing wholesome, inspirational, and moral content within a media marketplace sorely in need of such an alternative.

Many proponents of a la carte are well intentioned, but they simply have not considered the effect such regulations would have on the availability and distribution of religious and family broadcasting.

Tim Brenn Apple Valley CA

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today! http://vote.yahoo.com

From: Sent:

Virginia Hullette [vhullette@hotmail.com]
Tuesday, October 05, 2004 12:23 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

"a la carte" per channel charge

Virginia Hullette 7109 Shull Rd Huber Hts, OH 45424

October 5, 2004

Kevin J. Martin

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Kevin Martin:

The proposal of an "a la carte" per channel charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming is preposterous! TBN is already financially backed by it's faithful viewing audience so others in need of this type of programming have the opportunity to view something other than the garbage that is so prevalent in day to day television programming. Why don't you just concern yourself with cleaning up what's already aired...and it sure isn't TBN that needs the cleaning up!!

Sincerely,

Virginia Hullette 937 236-4381

From: Sent:

Virginia Hullette [vhullette@hotmail.com] Tuesday, October 05, 2004 12:23 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

"a la carte" per channel charge

Virginia Hullette 7109 Shull Rd Huber Hts, OH 45424

October 5, 2004

Michael Powell

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Michael Powell:

The proposal of an "a la carte" per channel charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming is preposterous! TBN is already financially backed by it's faithful viewing audience so others in need of this type of programming have the opportunity to view something other than the garbage that is so prevalent in day to day television programming. Why don't you just concern yourself with cleaning up what's already aired...and it sure isn't TBN that needs the cleaning up!!

Sincerely,

Virginia Hullette 937 236-4381

From: Sent:

Virginia Hullette [vhullette@hotmail.com] Tuesday, October 05, 2004 12:23 PM

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Subject:

"a la carte" per channel charge

Virginia Hullette 7109 Shull Rd Huber Hts, OH 45424

October 5, 2004

Jonathan S. Adelstein

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Jonathan Adelstein:

The proposal of an "a la carte" per channel charge that would limit broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming is preposterous! TBN is already financially backed by it's faithful viewing audience so others in need of this type of programming have the opportunity to view something other than the garbage that is so prevalent in day to day television programming. Why don't you just concern yourself with cleaning up what's already aired...and it sure isn't TBN that needs the cleaning up!!

Sincerely,

Virginia Hullette 937 236-4381

From: Sent: Annis Hughes [annis_hughes@sbcglobal.net] Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM

To: Subject: Commissioner Adelstein Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes Ms. none 616 West Elk Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes 573-624-4451 Ms.

From:

Annis Hughes [annis_hughes@sbcglobal.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes Ms. none 616 West Elk Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes 573-624-4451 Ms.

From:

shandle.green@att.net

Sent:

Wednesday, August 25, 2004 11:12 AM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein

Cc:

boxer.senate.gov@mailgate1.fcc.gov

Subject:

A la carte programming

Okay,

Let's be serious about a la carte. The bottom line is that we would pay the same amount for a few channels that we pay for a lot - we lose the volume discount. And, how do people come to the conclusion that they only watch 10 or 12 networks?? They see all of them and realize that their favorite shows are on those channels specifically. If you're asked when activiating, "What channels do you want?" You're going to rattle off the ones that you know of.

Look at all of the wonderful channels that have developed from this market - TNT, AMC, LIFETIME, DISCOVERY, BRAVO and FOXNEWS to name a few. Look at how LIFETIME has revolutionized the notion of home improvement. All channels that we were compelled to get because they were a part of the package!

Please don't let the ignorance of a few ruin it for people that want to see fresh and new television programming. People that don't want a limited few to dominate network programming. People that appreciate channels that take risks and introduce us to ideas that we wouldn't have had before. Why don't you as representatives of this country do what you do best - make informed decisions, popular or not, and stand by them because it's your job.

Sincerely,

Shandle Green

Pasadena, California

From:

Sharon [swread@banet.com]

Sent:

Friday, September 17, 2004 5:01 PM

To:

jeff.flake@mail.house.gov

Cc:

Michael Powell

Subject:

A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Representative Flake,

I know that the U.S. Congess and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called "a al carte" that would be devastating to the ablity of religious broadcasters to reach many with the gospel.

I would like to let you know as a registered voter that you respresent that I am opposed to this...

Thank you for your time,

Sharon Read

From:

Skier Dude [parchmentskier@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, September 21, 2004 2:16 PM

To: Subject:

Michael Powell bad legislation

Just a quick note to voice my vehement opposition to the proposed 'a la carte cable regulation' that is being currently discussed in congress. I also expressed these sentiments to my congressman, Vern Ehlers.

Darrell Nash

Do you Yahoo!? vote.yahoo.com - Register online to vote today!

From:

TAMEKA JACKSON [jacksont741114@msn.com]

Sent:

Friday, October 01, 2004 3:32 PM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein;

FCCINFO

Subject:

Stop Pay Per Channel Legislation Against Religious programming!

Importance:

High

All,

I've learned that the U.S. Congress and Federal Communications Commission have proposed an "a la carte" per-channel-charge that would LIMIT broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. I demand that you discontinue this "a la carte cable regulation" and prevent it from ever being considered again!

I am a consumer of religious programming and I do so without expensive, morally, physically and spiritually destructive cable programming. If this legislation is passed, I will no longer have access to religious broadcasts, which is an infringement of my rights with no real basis except the "We don't want to hear about God" mentality that has plagued our nation for the last several years. It's odd and interesting that similar legislation regarding the violent, sexually explicit and profane programming bundled in the packages currently offered on cable and regular network television is not coming under the same fire.

Religious freedom is beneficial to all and is guaranteed under the constitution. This legislation is a direct attack on liberty. I urge you to do what you can to vote down this attempt to stifle the country's religious freedoms--the same freedoms that founded the nation. I don't speak for everyone, but I do speak for the tens of millions of consumers of religious programs.

Thank you. Tameka Jackson

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE! http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/

From:

Tim Brenn [tazz92307@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Saturday, October 02, 2004 11:20 AM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein;

FCCINFO: FOIA

Subject:

Oppose pay per channel or "a la carte" regulation that hampers freedom of speech.

FCC Commissioners,

I oppose the proposed Federal regulation know as "pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing that would have a devastating effect on the inspirational programming to which we currently have access.

The proposed regulation would require that consumers specifically order and pay for a channel rather than receive it as part of a basic cable or satellite package. This regulation, if implemented, would decimate both the audience and financial support for religious broadcasting. Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasting does not draw the same level of advertising as standard entertainment programming, and if thrust into an "a la carte" world it would either be dropped by many cable and satellite operators or their subscribers would be charged significantly higher fees. Both prospects are unacceptable.

Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasts provide a vital public service by providing wholesome, inspirational, and moral content within a media marketplace sorely in need of such an alternative.

Many proponents of a la carte are well intentioned, but they simply have not considered the effect such regulations would have on the availability and distribution of religious and family broadcasting.

Tim Brenn Apple Valley CA

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today! http://vote.yahoo.com

From:

Alice_Barsegian@usw.salvationarmy.org

Sent:

Saturday, October 02, 2004 8:48 PM

To: Subject: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein

Strike Down 'A La Carte Cable Regulation!'

Dear Commissioners:

The religious programming that I, my family, and friends enjoy should NOT be CUT-OFF as proposed. Your proposal of an a la carte per-channel charge would LIMIT broadcasting ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. This is unfair and WE the people need to STOP this outrageous proposal and PROTECT FREE SPEECH in America!!

I have written to my congresswoman and I will alert all I come into contact with about this tragic proposal you are endorsing.

Sincerely,

Alice Barsegian 1825 El Rey Rd. San Pedro, CA 90732

From:

Alison Cohn [alison@iolbv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:54 PM

To:

KAQuinn

Subject:

"a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries

I remember a time when the government stood for the moral majority. Then I saw it change to back just the majority. Now it seems to only care about the immoral minorities. I hope you will vote against the "a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

I come from a military family that has devoted centuries to protecting the morals and livelihood of Americans. I was wrongfully imprisoned more than once because I was afraid to stand on my own feet and fight for myself. Now I am fighting for my wife and son. I can't change my past, but I hope to influence the future for their sake.

If people want to create a new Sodom, let them move to Francce where there are no restrictions on anything. Do not allow this to occur in MY country. Thank you for your time.

From:

Alison Cohn [alison@iolbv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:54 PM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

"a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries

I remember a time when the government stood for the moral majority. Then I saw it change to back just the majority. Now it seems to only care about the immoral minorities. I hope you will vote against the "a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

I come from a military family that has devoted centuries to protecting the morals and livelihood of Americans. I was wrongfully imprisoned more than once because I was afraid to stand on my own feet and fight for myself. Now I am fighting for my wife and son. I can't change my past, but I hope to influence the future for their sake.

If people want to create a new Sodom, let them move to Francce where there are no restrictions on anything. Do not allow this to occur in MY country. Thank you for your time.

From:

Alison Cohn [alison@iolbv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:54 PM

To:

Jonathan Adelstein

Subject:

"a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries

I remember a time when the government stood for the moral majority. Then I saw it change to back just the majority. Now it seems to only care about the immoral minorities. I hope you will vote against the "a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

I come from a military family that has devoted centuries to protecting the morals and livelihood of Americans. I was wrongfully imprisoned more than once because I was afraid to stand on my own feet and fight for myself. Now I am fighting for my wife and son. I can't change my past, but I hope to influence the future for their sake.

If people want to create a new Sodom, let them move to Francce where there are no restrictions on anything. Do not allow this to occur in MY country. Thank you for your time.

From:

Alison Cohn [alison@iolbv.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:54 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries

I remember a time when the government stood for the moral majority. Then I saw it change to back just the majority. Now it seems to only care about the immoral minorities. I hope you will vote against the "a la carte cable regulation," limiting broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

I come from a military family that has devoted centuries to protecting the morals and livelihood of Americans. I was wrongfully imprisoned more than once because I was afraid to stand on my own feet and fight for myself. Now I am fighting for my wife and son. I can't change my past, but I hope to influence the future for their sake.

If people want to create a new Sodom, let them move to Francce where there are no restrictions on anything. Do not allow this to occur in MY country. Thank you for your time.

From:

AngelChrst@aol.com

Sent:

Thursday, October 07, 2004 11:19 AM

To:

KJMWEB

Subject:

a la carte programming

Dear Mr. Martin,

I have written my congressman, Mel Watt of N. Carolina, and now I am writing you to express my opinion about the bill that is before Congress concerning the "a la carte" programming regulations for cable companies.

I am against this bill. It will have a devasting impact on religious programming. Religious programming effects the lives of millions of people. Not only does it offer a welcome relief from the often offensive network programming but it also promotes and impacts positive character traits that often translate into better people and better citizenship.

Angel Christ Jamestown, NC